

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,820 02/27/2002		Chauncey W. Griswold	404980	8636		
7590 03/25/2004		25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Garrettson Ellis			JONES, SCOTT E			
SEYFARTH S 55 East Monro		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
• •	50603	3713				

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/084,820	GRISWOLD, CHAUNCEY W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott E. Jones	3713		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	attitudion (1102) in dempired that a second	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [	$\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the material only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF 706 07(f).	ailing date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee hav fee und (2) as s	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reas set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ply originally set in the final Office action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	e period set forth in al of the appeal.
2.🖂		
(a	(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);
(b	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
•	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by m issues for appeal; and/or	
(c	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been coapplication in condition for allowance because:	onsidered but does NOT place the
6.	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered of explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by  the proposed amendment(s) a  will not be entered of the proposed amendment (s) a	or b) will be entered and an pelow or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-30</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.
9.[	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)
10.	Other:	Mallers
	Quana	eresa Walbarg Usory Patent Examiner
	SET	Group 3700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: In particular, new claim 31 recites, "upon favorable evaluation of said data, said gaming machine providing a personalized greeting to the player;" The examiner believes this newly added limitation raises a new issue that requires an additional search and further consideration.